

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,545	08/01/2003	Michael F. Brletich	12539	2681
7:	590 02/28/2006		EXAM	INER
PAUL F. DONOVAN ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS INC.			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
3600 WEST LAKE AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GLENVIEW, IL 60025			3679	
022111211,			3077	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/632,545	BRLETICH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ernesto Garcia	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF THE OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 December 2005 and 30 September 2005</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-16 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11-16</u> is/are allowed.						
7)							
8)							
Applicati	ion Papers	·					
		-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
الالا	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories Summer	(PTO 442)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election of Species

Claims 22 and 23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicants timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 06/20/2005.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "single flexible extension member" recited in claim 21, line 6.

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected to because --extension-- needs to be inserted after "flexible" in line 12. For purposes of examining the instant invention, the examiner has assumed these corrections have been made.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,545 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 21, the limitation "said body being sized, shaped and configured

for mating with an interior wall of the bar" in line 5 makes unclear what shape, size, and

configuration of the body is required to allow the body for mating with an interior wall of

the bar. Further, the limitation "said plug being further configured such that a portion of

said plug on a side thereof directly opposite said single flexible member mates with the

interior wall of the bar that is directly opposite the aperture in the sidewall of the bar that

receives said aperture engaging member of said single flexible member" in lines 11-14

makes unclear what configuration of the plug is required to allow the plug to mate with

the interior wall of the bar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Antonucci et al., 6,119,306.

Regarding claim 1, Antonucci et al. disclose, in Fig. 35, a device comprising a fastener plug 13 including a plug portion 20' and a fastener portion 24. The plug portion 20' defines an end cap 20' and at least one disc 28 spaced apart from the end cap 20'. The fastener portion 24 defines a flexible extension member 27 extending outwardly from the end cap 20'. The extension member 27 includes a projecting member 26'. Given the structure of Antonucci et al. above, the disc is sized and shaped to mate with and seal an open end of a bar. The flexible extension member is able to flex as the member is installed in the open end of the bar and snap back to an original position when the projection member engages an aperture in a sidewall of the bar. Further, the disc defines a diameter slightly larger than a diameter of the open end of the bar.

Regarding claim 2, the end cap **20'** defines a peripheral edge **B1** and a flat surface edge **B2** along the peripheral edge **B1**. Note, the claims are written with a broad breadth that Antonucci et al. reads on this claim. Applicants should consider rephrasing that the peripheral edge has a truncated edge or truncated surface.

Regarding claim 3, a rib A1 connects the disc 28 to the end cap 20' (see markedup attachment provided in last Office action).

Regarding claim 4, the disc **28** is a plurality of discs **28** spaced apart from the end cap **20**'.

Regarding claim 5, the extension member 27 extends upwardly from the end cap 20'.

Page 5

Regarding claim 6, the extension member 27 extends across the discs 28.

Regarding claim 7, the discs **28** define a flat surface edge **A2** (see marked-up attachment provided in last Office action; Figure 40).

Regarding claim 8, the projection member 26' defines an inclined surface A2 (see Figure 41).

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Popsys, 5,496,141.

Regarding claim 1, Popsys discloses, in Fig. 2, a device comprising a fastener plug 10 including a plug portion 12 and a fastener portion 18. The plug portion 12 defines an end cap 12 and at least one 22 spaced apart from the end cap 12. The fastener portion 18 defines a flexible extension member 14 extending outwardly from the end cap 12. The extension member 14 includes a projecting member 16. Given the structure of Popsys above, the disc is sized and shaped to mate with and seal an open end of a bar. The flexible extension member is able to flex as the member is installed in

the open end of the bar and snap back to an original position when the projection member engages an aperture in a sidewall of the bar. Further, the disc defines a diameter slightly larger than a diameter of the open end of the bar.

Regarding claim 2, the end cap **12** defines a peripheral edge and a flat surface edge along the peripheral edge. Note, the claims are written with a broad breadth that Popsys reads on this claim. Applicants should consider rephrasing that the peripheral edge has a truncated edge or truncated surface.

Regarding claim 4, the one disc 22 is a plurality of discs 20,22 spaced apart from the end cap 12.

Regarding claim 5, the extension member **14** extends upwardly from the end cap **12**.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gieling et al., 5,144,780.

Regarding claim 21, Gieling et al. disclose, in Figure 2, a device comprising a plug. The plug comprising an end cap 29, a body 33, and a single flexible extension member 59 (note that the upper portion on either side of the end cap has a single flexible extension). The body 33 extends from the end cap 29. The flexible extension

member 59 has an fixed end A4 (see marked-up attachment provided in the last Office action) and an free end A5. The fixed end A4 is fixed to the end cap 29. The free end A5 is opposite the fixed end A4. The flexible extension member 59 includes at least a portion A6 thereof extending between and within a space A7 defined by the end cap 29 and a portion A8 of the body 33 spaced farthest from the end cap 29. The free end A5 includes an aperture engaging member 57.

The body **33** is sized, shaped and configured for mating with an interior wall of a bar. Further, the plug is further configured such that a portion of the plug on a side thereof directly opposite the single flexible extension member mates with the interior wall of the bar that is directly opposite the aperture in the sidewall of the bar that receives said aperture engaging member of said single flexible member.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwilosz, 6,799,9341.

Regarding claim 1, Kwilosz disclose, in Fig. 5, a device comprising a fastener plug 10 including a plug portion 200 and a fastener portion 14. The plug portion 200 defines an end cap 200 and at least one disc 12 spaced apart from the end cap 200. The fastener portion 14 defines a flexible extension member 22 extending outwardly from the end cap 200. The extension member 22 includes a projecting member 54. Given the structure of Kwilosz above, the disc is sized and shaped to mate with and

seal an open end of a bar. The flexible extension member is able to flex as the member is installed in the open end of the bar and snap back to an original position when the projection member engages an aperture in a sidewall of the bar. Further, the disc defines a diameter slightly larger than a diameter of the open end of the bar.

Regarding claim 2, the end cap 200 defines a peripheral edge and a flat surface edge along the peripheral edge. Note, the claims are written with a broad breadth that Kwilosz reads on this claim. Applicants should consider rephrasing that the peripheral edge has a truncated edge or truncated surface.

Regarding claim 3, a rib 30 connects the disc 12 to the end cap 200.

Regarding claim 5, the extension member 22 extends upwardly from the end cap 200.

Regarding claim 8, the projection member 54 defines an inclined surface (the ramp).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 11-16 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

regarding claim 9, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device comprising a fastener plug including an end cap defining a flat truncated surface along a peripheral edge; there is no motivation absent applicant's own disclosure to modify Popsys, 5,496,141, Kwilosz, 6,799,931, Antonucci et al., 6,119,306, and Gieling et al., 5,144,780, because there is no requirement or need for a flat truncated surface to permit water or waste to drain out of a trashcan bar 20 when used with the device;

regarding claims 11-14, these claims directly or indirectly depend from claim 9; regarding claim 15, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device comprising an extension member extending across a flat surface edge of a plurality of discs; there is no motivation absent applicant's own disclosure to modify the closest prior art to Popsys, 5,496,141, and Antonucci et al., 6,119,306, because the extension member in Popsys extends perpendicular to the device, and the discs in Antonucci et al. do not contain any flat surface edge to allow the extension member to be across the flat surface edge; and,

regarding claim 16, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device comprising an aperture-engaging member defining opposing columns joined together by a rib; there is no motivation absent applicant's own disclosure to modify the references because no one teaches the subject matter to facilitate insertion and removal of the aperture-engaging member within and out of an opening 44 formed in a trashcan bar.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 12/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that claim 1 has been amended to recite "the aperture in the sidewall of the bar extends completely therethrough" and "the projection member of the flexible extension member extends through the aperture" as stated at the bottom of page 6 to page 7 of the remarks. In response to applicants' argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicants' invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the projecting member extends through the aperture") is not recited in a positive recitation in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicants should note that the limitation "when the projecting member extends through the aperture" appears in claim 1, line 13; however, the limitation is conditional and does not indicate that the projecting member is actually in the aperture, especially "through the aperture" as applicants argue. Further, how does further limiting the intended use of the device further limits the device? According to the amendment, the changes do not add any structural limitations to the device to overcome the rejections and therefore the device remains structurally the same.

Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gordon, 5,651,632, and Mair, 4,956,900 show a similar device.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. The new limitation "said plug being further configured such that a portion of said plug on a side thereof directly opposite said single flexible member mates with the interior wall of the bar that is directly opposite the aperture in the sidewall of the bar that receives said aperture engaging member of said single flexible member" in claim 21, lines 11-14, necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a): Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-272-70837083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-6:00. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

9.2.

February 20, 2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel P Stodolo